

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/944,098	09/04/2001	Masakazu Ogasawara	041514-5143	1762		
9629 7	590 07/20/2004	EXAMINER				
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			PATEL, G	PATEL, GAUTAM		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2655	S.		
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_								
Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/944,09	44,098 OGASAWARA ET AL.		AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Gautam F		2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision: IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (speriod for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30) days, a reply within the stat tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely the mailing date of this or ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)🛛 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>10 May 2004</u> .						
·	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the Pal Of the above claim(s) is/ac Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from co						
Application	on Papers							
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)□ 1	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/944,098

'Art Unit: 2655

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The optical storage device of:

- a. fig. 6-8 [first embodiment];
- b. fig. 17-18 [second embodiment];
- c. fig. 19-20 [third embodiment];
- d. fig. 21 [fourth embodiment];
- e. fig. 23 [fifth embodiment]; and
- f. fig. 24-26 [sixth embodiment].
- g. fig. 27 [seventh embodiment].

Also in addition to above species the Applicants must also select between original shape of the detector or one presented in figure 30, which is applicable to **one** of above species.

h. fig. 30 [additional embodiment, as explained above].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/944,098

Art Unit: 2655

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. David Baltazar on July 1, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

NOTE: Mr. Baltazar agreed that restriction may be possible, however he requested that a formal restriction be sent out for examination of the client.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that **upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended** in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 4. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to **expire 1 (one) months and 0 (zero) days** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Contact information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or the group Customer Service section whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

GAUTAM R. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

July 19, 2004